

DEKP2214 PROJEK DIPLOMA  
 REPORT EVALUATION FORM

**STUDENT DETAILS**

Name : .....

Matrix No. : ..... Course & Section : .....

Contact No. : .....

Project Title : .....

Supervisor : .....

**Q1 Format & Layout (10 Marks x 0.1 Weightage) (LO 6, PO 10)**

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Excellent presentation of the technical report according to the format and layout set by the guideline.			Adequate presentation of the technical report according to the format and layout set by the guideline				Poor presentation of the technical report and does not comply with the guideline.		

**Q2 Readability (10 Marks x 0.1 Weightage) (LO 6, PO 10)**

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Highly readable. The writing is clear and focused, has exceptional details with relevant figures to support			The readability is acceptable but the content is insufficient				Poorly written and constructed in term of contents, method and quality		

**Q3 Contents**

**Q3.1 Abstract (10 Marks x 0.2 Weightage) (LO 6, PO 10)**

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Excellent in constructing the abstract which includes important project elements			Adequate construction of the abstract which includes some important elements of the project				Poor construction of the abstract which leaves out the important element of the		

**Q3.2 Introduction (10 Marks x 0.2 Weightage) (LO 4, PO 7)**

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
The writing is clear and focused, appropriate, has exceptional details with relevant figures to support			The writing is readable. However the content is inadequate to support comprehension				Insensible writing, partly irrelevant and difficult to comprehend		

**Q3.3 Problem statement (10 Marks x 0.2 Weightage) (LO 4, PO 7)**

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Problem statement is excellent and covers all the elements			Problem statement is moderately described but some of the elements				Problem statement is poorly described and/or missing a lot of the elements		

**Q3.4 Objective (10 Marks x 0.2 Weightage) (LO 4, PO 7)**

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Objective is excellently described and cover all the elements			Objective are sufficiently describe but some of the elements is missing				Objective are poorly describe and/or missing a lot of the elements		

**Q3.5 Scope** (10 Marks x 0.2 *Weightage*) (LO 4, PO 7)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Scope is excellently described and cover all the elements			Scope are sufficiently describe but some of the elements is missing				Scope is poorly describe		

**Q3.6 Project Background / Literature Review** (10 Marks x 0.2 *Weightage*) (LO 8, PO 12)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Background study is excellently described and covers all the elements			Background study is moderately described but some of the elements is missing				Background study is poorly describe		

**Q3.7 Methodology / Experimental Detail** (10 Marks x 0.3 *Weightage*) (LO 2, PO 4)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Methodology and experimental details are excellently reported and covers all of the elements			Methodology and experimental details are moderately reported and/or but some of the elements is missing				Methodology and experimental details are poorly reported		

**Q3.8 Result** (10 Marks x 0.2 *Weightage*) (LO 1, PO 3)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Result is well presented			Result is moderately presented				Result is poorly presented		

**Q3.9 Conclusion and Reference** (10 Marks x 0.1 *Weightage*) (LO 8, PO 12)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
The project is excellently concluded with good reference sources and correct referencing format			The project is moderately concluded but may have insufficient reference sources and/or incorrect referencing format				Result poorly analyses and cover all element. Poor reference sources and incorrect referencing format		

**Comments**

**Overall marks**

Task	Marks	X Weightage	Actual Marks
Q1		0.1	
Q2		0.1	
Q3			
Q3.1		0.2	
Q3.2		0.2	
Q3.3		0.2	
Q3.4		0.2	
Q3.5		0.2	
Q3.6		0.2	
Q3.7		0.3	
Q3.8		0.2	
Q3.9		0.1	
<b>TOTAL MARKS</b>			<b>/20</b>

Evaluated and comment by:

(Supervisor Signature)  
Stamp

Contact. No. : .....

Date : .....