

DEKP2214 DIPLOMA PROJECT
 SEMINAR EVALUATION FORM (PANEL 2)

STUDENT DETAILS

Name :

Matrix No. : Course & Section :

Contact No. :

Project Title :

Supervisor :

Q1 Poster

Q1.1 Objectives and Scope (10 Marks x 0.1 Weightage) (LO 4, PO 7)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Objectives and scope are excellently described and covers all the elements			Objectives and scope are moderately described but some of the elements is missing				Objectives and scope are poorly described and/or missing a lot of the elements			

Q1.2 Introduction and Literature background (10 Marks x 0.1 Weightage) (LO 4, PO 7)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
The writing is clear and focused, appropriate, has exceptional details with relevant figures to support			The writing is readable. However the content is inadequate to support comprehension				Insensible writing, partly irrelevant and difficult to comprehend			

Q1.3 Project significant and contribution (10 Marks x 0.1 Weightage) (LO 4, PO 7)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Project is highly significant with meaningful contribution			Project is moderately significant with sufficient contribution				Project are not significant and/or do not have any contribution			

Q1.4 Project implementation & Outcome (10 Marks x 0.1 Weightage) (LO 1, PO 3)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Project was implemented excellently and produced very good outcome			Project was implemented moderately and produced good outcome				Project was implemented poorly and/ or did not produce any outcome			

Q2 Oral Presentation (10 Marks)

Q2.1 Communication Skill (10 Marks x 0.2 Weightage) (LO 6, PO 10)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Well organized presentation with clear and convincing explanation			moderately organized presentation and explanation is understandable				Poor presentation and explanation is difficult to comprehend			

Q2.2 Ability to Answer Question (10 Marks x 0.1 Weightage) (LO 1, PO 3)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Able to show thorough understanding of the project performed			Able to show considerable understanding of the project performed				Limited understanding of the project performed			

Q2.3 Attire Appearance (10 Marks x 0.1 Weightage) (LO 5, PO 8)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Follow all the rules and wears proper attire.			Follow some rules and wears proper attire.				Does not follow the rules and/or does not wear proper attire		

Q3 Video Pitching (10 Marks x 0.2 Weightage) (LO 7, PO 11)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Video is highly very creative and attractive, shows all functionality			Video is moderately creative and attractive, shows some all functionality				Video is not creative and attractive and/or does not show the functionality		

Q4 Demonstration**Q4.1 Functionality (10 Marks x 0.3 Weightage) (LO 1, PO 3)**

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
The project is fully functional and achieved the objectives and scope			The project is partly functional and only work for smaller objectives and scope				The project does not function or is incomplete		

Q4.2 Technical and Method (10 Marks x 0.3 Weightage) (LO 2, PO 4)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Perform good skills handling engineering tools			Perform sufficient skills or handling engineering tools				Perform poor skills handling engineering tools		

Q4.3 Creativity (10 Marks x 0.2 Weightage) (LO 8, PO 12)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Show excellent ability to solve the problem			Shows sufficient ability to solve the problem				Shows poor ability to solve the problem		

Q4.4 Safety During Test (10 Marks x 0.2 Weightage) (LO 3, PO 5)

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Show high awareness of electrical safety throughout the demonstration			Show lack of awareness to electrical safety throughout the demonstration				No awareness shown to electrical safety throughout the demonstration		

Comments

--

Overall marks

Task	Marks	X Weightage	Actual Marks
Q1.1		0.1	
Q1.2		0.1	
Q1.3		0.1	
Q1.4		0.1	
Q2.1		0.2	
Q2.2		0.1	
Q2.3		0.1	
Q3		0.2	
Q4.1		0.3	
Q4.2		0.3	
Q4.3		0.2	
Q4.4		0.2	
TOTAL MARKS			/20

Evaluated and comment by:

**(Panel Signature)
Stamp**

Contact. No. :

Date :